IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 4TH APRIL 2007 / 14TH CHAITHRA 1929

WP(C).No. 11893 of 2007(N)

PETITIONER:

JACOB, AGED 50 YEARS, S/O.ANTHONY,
KOOTTAPLAYI HOUSE, KODAKARA, THRISSUR
DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.RENJITH THAMPAN
SMT.P.A.ANITHA

RESPONDENTS:

1. LALITHA G.NAIR, UNDIKKAMADAM HOUSE,
MANAKULANGARA P.O., THRISSUR DT.

2. NEETHA KUMAR, D/O.NANDAKUMAR,
'SREEE VILAS', MANAKULANGARA P.O.,
THRISSUR DT.

3. PRATHIBHA PUSHPAKARAN,
W/O.PUSHPAKARAN,
THOTTAPPURAM HOUSE, PERAMBRA,
KODAKARA, THRISSUR DT.

4. CONSUMER DISPUTES REDRESSAL FORUM,
THRISSUR.

BY

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 04/04/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

S.SIRI JAGAN, J.

==========

W.P.(C).No.11893 of 2007

==========

Dated this the 4th day of April, 2007

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner challenges the proceedings initiated by the Consumer Disputes Redressal Forum, Thrissur, in execution proceedings for recovery of the amounts due from the petitioner towards various applicants as per the order of the CDRF. From the averments in the writ petition I find that in respect of the same subject matter, the petitioner had earlier invoked the jurisdiction of this court by filing W.P(C).No.33030/2006. That being so, if at all the petitioner is aggrieved by any further action of the CDRF, the remedy of the petitioner is to file an appropriate petition in that writ petition to stay further proceedings and not to file a separate writ petition as if a new cause of action has arisen. Therefore, I am not inclined to entertain this writ petition. Accordingly, this writ petition is dismissed.

Sd/-

sdk+ S.SIRI JAGAN, JUDGE

///True copy///

P.A. to Judge.